

# Artificial Intelligence in Primary and Secondary Education: a Review of Educational Activities Development

Sébastien Combéfis<sup>1,2</sup>[0000–0002–8987–9589]

<sup>1</sup> Computer Science and IT in Education ASBL, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

<sup>2</sup> AEI Consulting, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

[sebastien@combefis.be](mailto:sebastien@combefis.be)

<https://sebastien.combefis.be>

**Abstract.** Intelligent systems are widespread in everyday life. Today, more than ever, artificial intelligence (AI) is being applied to many domains and its societal relevance is growing rather rapidly. It is therefore important to include AI early in education, as a subject for pupils to apprehend and learn. Future citizens must be capable to understand the technology behind intelligent systems, at least globally. This paper reviews the activities and tools that are being developed to teach AI to young pupils in primary and secondary schools. Its goal is to identify the various kinds of activities designed by researchers, like games, unplugged activities, workshops, etc. It also aims at analysing what are the subfields of AI covered by developed activities. To conclude, this paper draws up perspectives on future development the research community may investigate further, to better educate young pupils to AI.

**Keywords:** Artificial intelligence · Education · School.

## 1 Introduction

Intelligent systems are an integral part of the society and widespread in everyday life [23]. With the rise of cyber-physical systems, intelligent machines equipped with artificial intelligence (AI) are spreading [28]. A direct consequence is the need for current and future citizens to have some knowledge on these subjects.

Artificial intelligence has been established as an academic discipline in the 1950s [17]. It was only recently it left the scientific obscurity to reach the business world and the public at large. Text generation, image recognition, self-driving vehicles, intelligent household appliances, smartphones and smart speakers with embedded assistants are just a few examples of concrete applications of AI that can be used by anyone today [2]. On the one hand, many people know about the existence of devices and services based on AI but, on the other hand, only a few individuals understand the technology behind them. The underlying process used by artificial intelligence, and more specifically machine learning (ML), is a black box for many users [18]. Since AI and ML concepts are not trivial, there is a justified reason to “black box” them in consumer products.



## 1.2 Methodology

To fulfil the objectives of this paper, an extensive literature review has been conducted, following similar strategies to those used in [4]. Papers have been found on Google Scholar and on various widespread publishers, including ACM, IEEE, Springer and MDPI, using the following keywords on their search engine: “artificial intelligence K-12”, “machine learning K-12”, “children teaching artificial intelligence”, “activity to learn artificial intelligence children.” Relevant references of the papers found with those keywords have also been examined. Papers from the initial set have then been filtered out. Only those in English and published from the year 2000 have been kept. All the kinds of papers have been considered, whether they have been peer-reviewed or not and whatever type they are (full and short paper, poster, extended abstract, etc.). Then, only those related to the development of activities for children (up to 18 years old) have been used for this review. Some papers about the development of curricula have been filtered out, only those also containing propositions of activities have been kept.

After this introduction, the remainder of the paper is as follows. Section 2 presents the subfields of AI covered by the developed activities discovered by this review. Section 3 categorises them according to their kinds. Section 4 then summarises and discusses the findings. Finally, Section 5 concludes the paper.

## 2 Artificial intelligence subfields

The analysed papers reveal that many subfields of artificial intelligence (AI) are covered by activities to teach them. This section goes through them.

### 2.1 Data structure

Many AI algorithms are relying on specific *data structures*, like trees, graphs, forests and matrices. Going deep in their understanding is perhaps unsuitable for young children. However, it is worth teaching them about elementary AI algorithms since the explanations can be very visual thanks to the simple underlying data structure. For example, getting how some simple decision tree learning algorithms work is easy for people understanding the notion of tree. Not many pieces of research analysed for this review are focusing on the data structures used in AI. In [21], the authors present the development of unplugged activities to teach AI. The first one can be used to introduce the tree data structure, in the specific context of decision tree learning.

### 2.2 Learning

Nowadays, *machine learning* (ML) has become the new engine that revolutionises the practices of knowledge discovery [34]. As a consequence, it is important for everyone, in particular children, to be able to cope with the central paradigms of ML. The majority of recent research on activities to teach AI is

about ML. Some developed activities are trying to explain the core concepts and intuitions, while others are focused on describing the technical parts. It has also been shown that making children involved in the training of accessible ML systems support them to better understand basic ML processes [19]. Several activities related to ML are therefore focusing on the training part.

**Decision tree learning** It is important for K-12 pupils to learn about the core ideas and principles of ML. However, it is an enormous challenge for this age group to directly delve into the complexity of ML. Focusing of *decision tree learning* (DTL) provides a more suitable entry point. More precisely, it can be used to exemplify the idea of supervised learning. In [27], the authors propose a teaching concept starting with the understanding of decision tree learning, combining several activities and tools.

**Supervised learning** Many of the developed activities do include content to teach about *supervised learning* techniques or applications. This is likely due to the fact that the training process can be easily transposed into interactive activities. In [32], the authors present a game they developed to teach supervised learning, gradient descent and  $k$ -nearest neighbour classification. Their approach is limited to teaching the definition and core concepts, without inner details like underlying mathematics or jargon. In [29], the authors report on the design of an activity using block-based programming to control educational robots to introduce supervised, unsupervised and reinforcement learning. In [43], the authors are focused on teaching the insights of image recognition and supervised learning to very young pupils, with direct demonstration of how a classifier can identify objects they drew. Finally, in [16], the activity presented by the authors is the development of a scavenger hunt game run on a smartphone. The application relies on machine learning to perform image recognition to identify whether the correct object has been detected or not.

**Neural network learning** Activities specifically dedicated to *neural network* (NN) learning are also being developed by researchers. The interest about NN is that explaining them can easily be done visually, which makes it more suitable for younger. In [36], the authors propose a three-part learning module for pupils to be taught about artificial neural networks. A constructionist approach is followed, leading pupils to first use neural networks, then modify predefined ones and finally construct their own. This module is carried on a programmable learning environment based on Scratch programming.

## 2.3 Data mining

Since the rise of big data, it is interesting for people to grasp how algorithms can extract valuable knowledge from them. *Data mining* (DM) is another subfield of AI that can be taught to young pupils. Other subjects like privacy issues can

also be discussed in relation with DM. In [11], the authors develop a learning module based on the RapidMiner tool to provide an introduction to DM to young pupils. Their module includes a Hollywood Movie Recommendation activity to make learners understand how to collect, analyse and use data.

## 2.4 Data science

Analysing data to make decisions with data analytics and machine learning is becoming a widespread activity in the industry. It is therefore also important to teach pupils about what is *data science* and decisions that can be made based on the results of data analyses. Understanding data-driven intelligence is consequently an interesting competency for today's youth to acquire. In [37], the authors present a half-day camp tutorial in which they expose pupils to the full cycle of a typical supervised learning approach used for data analyses. They designed the tutorial as an exciting hands-on introduction to data science.

## 3 Activities and tools

A second analysis that has been performed on the analysed papers made it possible to highlight the kinds of activities that have been or are being developed, and in which context they are organised.

### 3.1 Activities

Various kinds of activities are being developed to teach pupils AI-related concepts. Some of them rely on software systems, others on tangible devices, and still others only on pen and paper.

**Programming** AI concepts can be taught through *programming*. Several activities have been developed where pupils have to experiment by themselves, creating or configuring an AI model. In [29], the authors develop activities for pupils to learn ML concepts by writing programs with a block-based programming tool. Following constructionism ideas, making them programming helps them to experience the concepts in practice. In [16], the authors explain how they designed an activity where pupils are asked to develop a smartphone-based game with AppInventor. In [13], the authors present a workshop using Scratch programming to teach pupils data clustering and artificial NN learning. Their idea is to get learners to partially code AI algorithms to make them aware of how intelligent systems work through construction and experimentation.

**Game** *Games* have been used for educational purposes for decades, as they contribute to increase their players' motivation to learn [30, 5]. In particular, they are a good mean to have pupils learn about programming, but it is also true for AI. There are various kinds of games that can be used, including tangible,



system have been rare. AI Unplugged provides unplugged activities presenting AI ideas and concepts without using computers [21].

### 3.2 Tools

Researchers are implementing *tools* based on which activities can be developed to teach AI. For example, *Google Teachable Machine* is used to design workshops where pupils are creating their own ML application [42, 12]. *Teachable machine* refers to interfaces that do not require programming but makes it possible for its users to train and test an algorithm iteratively [12]. In [39], the authors test an approach using several tools to teach machine learning through design fiction. They used Scroobly (an AR tool), Teachable Machine and Adacraft (a Scratch-based coding environment compatible with ML extension blocks).

### 3.3 Contexts

The aforementioned activities can be organised in diverse *contexts* where pupils are learning, which can either be in schools or outside of them. This section presents various contexts where the presented activities can be organised.

**Course** One possible approach to teach artificial intelligence is to develop a teaching unit that includes both theoretical and hands-on components. For example, in [3], the authors present an AI course called *IRobot* and that covers major topics of artificial intelligence. Their goal is to have this seven weekly teaching units of two hours course integrated in secondary science education.

**Competition** Competitions are a motivating and challenging way to teach concepts, in particular to young people [9]. They are often used to learn programming skills, for example as online game platforms [5]. One example of a challenge targeted to young pupils and through which AI concepts can be taught is the *Bebras Challenge* [8, 15]. Other competitions include games such as Leek Wars [5], where players have to implement an intelligent behaviour for their leeks.

**Event** Organising *workshops* is also an interested way to teach AI. They can either be organised with pupils in the classroom or at events external to the school context, depending on the workshop total duration. For example, in [42] the authors propose a workshop based on Google Teachable Machine to teach machine learning principle to primary school pupils with three 2.5 hours-session workshop spread over three days. In [43], the authors report on a workshop they designed and held in SciFest, the largest science fair for children, in Finland. This 15-minute workshop was open to any visitor, without needing to book a time slot nor a seat. It consists of an activity where children were drawing animals and then presented them to an image recognition system to identify the drawn animal. The goal of the workshop is to teach basic concepts related to image recognition and supervised learning. Other kinds of event can be thought of to teach AI, like escape games or rooms, for example [6].

## 4 Discussion

The conducted review shows many different kinds of activities have been or are being developed to teach artificial intelligence (AI) to young pupils, covering several subfields of AI. Without surprise, machine learning (ML) is the most popular subfield. It is probably due to the fact that ML is a large part of modern AI. This popularity is also probably related to the fact that ML is the most used subfield in broad applications available to the public at large, and in particular young people. Data structure is not of direct interest for pupils as a broad subject, but it is an easy and possibly visual way to introduce AI-related concepts. Data mining and data science related activities are not very common, possibly because they may require basic AI knowledge beforehand.

Regarding the activities, the most common ones are related to programming, either with physical objects or in virtual simulation environments. Programming simple AIs to control agents in games is also quite popular. Unplugged activities are also developed, more specifically for younger pupils. The main advantage is that they can be more easily organised, without specific equipments. Competitions and games to teach AI are also quite popular, as they are very motivating ways to learn. All these activities can be organised in several contexts, courses offering the longest training time. Short workshops are also interesting since they can put pupils into action during a small amount of time, keeping them focused on the activity. Of course, other contexts may be explored, such as summer camps and other trainings outside of schools.

## 5 Conclusions

To conclude, the review presented in this paper covers recent pieces of research related to the development of activities to teach artificial intelligence (AI) to young pupils. This paper reveals both the subfields of AI that can be taught and the different kinds of activities used to teach them.

Future work includes refining the analysis of the existing activities to take into account their targeted age groups. Further research should also be conducted to identify whether some activities are best-suited for a given subfield of AI, or a given age group, when used in a specific context.

## References

1. Agassi, A., Erel, H., Wald, I.Y., Zuckerman, O.: Scratch nodes ML: A playful system for children to create gesture recognition classifiers. In: 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290607.3312894>
2. Broering, A., Niedermeier, C., Olaru, I., Schopp, U., Telschig, K., Villnow, M.: Toward embodied intelligence: Smart things on the rise. *Computer* **54**, 57–68 (Jul 2021). <https://doi.org/10.1109/MC.2021.3074749>



3. Burgsteiner, H., Kandlhofer, M., Steinbauer, G.: IRobot: Teaching the basics of artificial intelligence in high schools. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* **30**(1) (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v30i1.9864>
4. Combéfis, S.: Automated code assessment for education: Review, classification and perspectives on techniques and tools. *Software* **1**, 1–28 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.3390/software1010002>
5. Combéfis, S., Beresnevičius, G., Dagienė, V.: Learning programming through games and contests: Overview, characterisation and discussion. *Olympiads in Informatics* **10**, 39–60 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.15388/oi.2016.03>
6. Combéfis, S., de Moffarts, G.: Learning computer science at a fair with an escape game. In: 12th International Conference on Informatics in Schools: Situation, Evolution and Perspectives. pp. 93–95 (2019)
7. Combéfis, S., de Moffarts, G., Jovanov, M.: TLCs: A digital library with resources to teach and learn computer science. *Olympiads in Informatics* **13**, 3–20 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.15388/oi.2019.01>
8. Combéfis, S., Stupurienė, G.: Bebras based activities for computer science education: Review and perspectives. In: 13th International Conference on Informatics in School: Situation, Evaluation, Problems. pp. 15–29 (2020). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-63212-0\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-63212-0_2)
9. Combéfis, S., Wautelet, J.: Programming trainings and informatics teaching through online contests. *Olympiads in Informatics* **8**, 21–34 (2014)
10. Druga, S., Otero, N., Ko, A.J.: The landscape of teaching resources for ai education. In: 27th ACM Conference on on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education. pp. 96–102 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3502718.3524782>
11. Dryer, A., Walia, N., Chattopadhyay, A.: A middle-school module for introducing data-mining, big-data, ethics and privacy using rapidminer and a hollywood theme. In: 49th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education. pp. 753–758 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3159450.3159553>
12. Dwivedi, U.: Introducing children to machine learning through machine teaching. In: *Interaction Design and Children*. pp. 641–643 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3459990.3463394>
13. Estevez, J., Garate, G., Graña, Jr., M.: Gentle introduction to artificial intelligence for high-school students using scratch. *IEEE Access* **7**, 179027–179036 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2956136>
14. Fok, S.C., Ong, E.K.: A high school project on artificial intelligence in robotics. *Artificial Intelligence in Engineering* **10**(1), 61–70 (1996). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0954-1810\(95\)00016-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0954-1810(95)00016-X)
15. Futschek, G., Dagienė, V.: A contest on informatics and computer fluency attracts school students to learn basic technology concepts. In: 9th World Conference on Computers in Education (2009)
16. Guerreiro-Santalla, S., Mallo, A., Baamonde, T., Bellas, F.: Smartphone-based game development to introduce K12 students in applied artificial intelligence. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* **36**(11), 12758–12765 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v36i11.21554>
17. Haenlein, M., Kaplan, A.: A brief history of artificial intelligence: On the past, present, and future of artificial intelligence. *California Management Review* **61**(4), 5–14 (2019)
18. Hitron, T., Orlev, Y., Wald, I., Shamir, A., Erel, H., Zuckerman, O.: Can children understand machine learning concepts?: The effect of uncovering black boxes. In: 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. pp. 1–11 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300645>

19. Hitron, T., Wald, I., Erel, H., Zuckerman, O.: Introducing children to machine learning concepts through hands-on experience. In: 17th ACM Conference on Interaction Design and Children. pp. 563–568 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3202185.3210776>
20. Kandlhofer, M., Steinbauer, G., Hirschmugl-Gaisch, S., Huber, P.: Artificial intelligence and computer science in education: From kindergarten to university. In: 2016 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1109/FIE.2016.7757570>
21. Lindner, A., Seegerer, S., Romeike, R.: Unplugged activities in the context of AI. In: 12th International Conference on Informatics in Schools: Situation, Evolution, and Perspectives. pp. 123–135 (2019). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-33759-9\\_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-33759-9_10)
22. Long, D., Moon, J., Magerko, B.: Unplugged assignments for K-12 AI education. *AI Matters* **7**(1), 10–12 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3465074.3465078>
23. Makridakis, S.: The forthcoming artificial intelligence (AI) revolution: Its impact on society and firms. *Futures* **90**, 46–60 (Jun 2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2017.03.006>
24. Marinescu-Istodor, R., Jormanainen, I.: Machine learning for high school students. In: 19th Koli Calling International Conference on Computing Education Research (2019)
25. Marques, L.S., Gresse Von Wangenheim, C., Hauck, J.C.R.: Teaching machine learning in school: A systematic mapping of the state of the art. *Informatics in Education* **19**(2), 283–321 (2020)
26. Martins, R.M., Gresse Von Wangenheim, C.: Findings on teaching machine learning in high school: A ten-year systematic literature review. *Informatics in Education* (2023)
27. Michaeli, T., Seegerer, S., Kerber, L., Romeike, R.: Data, trees, and forests – decision tree learning in K-12 education. In: 3rd Teaching Machine Learning Workshop (2022)
28. Müller, H.A.: The rise of intelligent cyber-physical systems. *Computer* **50**, 7–9 (Dec 2017). <https://doi.org/10.1109/MC.2017.4451221>
29. Olari, V., Cvejosi, K., Eide, O.: Introduction to machine learning with robots and playful learning. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* **35**(17), 15630–15639 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v35i17.17841>
30. Overmars, M.: Teaching computer science through game design. *Computer* **37**(4), 81–83 (2004)
31. Pilgrim, R.A.: TIC-TAC-TOE: Introducing expert systems to middle school students. *ACM SIGCSE Bulletin* **27**(1), 340–344 (1995). <https://doi.org/10.1145/199691.199853>
32. Priya, S., Bhadra, S., Chimalakonda, S., Venigalla, A.S.M.: ML-Quest: a game for introducing machine learning concepts to K-12 students. *Interactive Learning Environments* (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2022.2084115>
33. Reynolds, C.F.: Introducing expert systems to pupils. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning* **4**(2), 79–92 (1988). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.1988.tb00268.x>
34. Sanusi, I.T., Oyelere, S.S., Vartiainen, H., Suhonen, J., Tukiainen, M.: A systematic review of teaching and learning machine learning in K-12 education. *Education and Information Technologies* (2022)
35. Scheidt, A., Pulver, T.: Any-cubes: A children’s toy for learning AI: Enhanced play with deep learning and MQTT. In: *Mensch und Computer 2019*. pp. 893–895 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3340764.3345375>

36. Shamir, G., Levin, I.: Neural network construction practices in elementary school. *KI-Künstliche Intelligenz* **35**, 181–189 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13218-021-00729-3>
37. Srikant, S., Aggarwal, V.: Introducing data science to school kids. In: 2017 ACM SIGCSE Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education. pp. 561–566 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3017680.3017717>
38. Su, J., Zhong, Y.: Artificial intelligence (ai) in early childhood education: Curriculum design and future directions. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence* **3** (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100072>
39. Tamashiro, M.A., Van Mechelen, M., Schaper, M.M., Iversen, O.S.: Introducing teenagers to machine learning through design fiction: An exploratory case study. In: *Interaction Design and Children*. pp. 471–475 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3459990.3465193>
40. Tedre, M., Toivonen, T., Kahila, J., Vartiainen, H., Valtonen, T., Jormanainen, I., Pears, A.: Teaching machine learning in K–12 classroom: Pedagogical and technological trajectories for artificial intelligence education. *IEEE Access* **9**, 2169–3536 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3097962>
41. The Royal Society: Machine Learning: the Power and Promise of Computers that Learn by Example. The Royal Society, Great Britain (2017)
42. Toivonen, T., Jormanainen, I., Kahila, J., Tedre, M., Valtonen, T., Vartiainen, H.: Co-designing machine learning apps in K-12 with primary school children. In: 2020 IEEE 20th International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies. pp. 308–310 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICALT49669.2020.00099>
43. Toivonen, T., Jormanainen, I., Tedre, M., Marinescu-Istodor, R., Valtonen, T., Vartiainen, H., Kahila, J.: Interacting by drawing: Introducing machine learning ideas to children at a K-9 science fair. In: 2022 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. pp. 1–5 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491101.3503574>
44. Virtue, P.: GANs unplugged. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* **35**(17), 15664–15668 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v35i17.17845>
45. Yang, W.: Artificial intelligence education for young children: Why, what, and how in curriculum design and implementation. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence* **3** (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100061>
46. Yue, M., Jong, M.S.Y., Dai, Y.: Pedagogical design of K-12 artificial intelligence education: A systematic review. *Sustainability* **14**(23) (2022). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142315620>
47. Zhang, Z., Willner-Giwerc, S., Sinapov, J., Cross, J., Rogers, C.: An interactive robot platform for introducing reinforcement learning to K-12 students. In: *International Conference on Robotics in Education*. pp. 288–301 (2022). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-82544-7\\_27](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-82544-7_27)
48. Zuckerman, O.: Designing digital objects for learning: Lessons from froebel and montessori. *International Journal of Arts and Technology* **3**(1), 124–135 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJART.2010.030497>